

CHEMICAL WAREHOUSING COMPARISON PAPER

Choosing the Right Storage Strategy for Chemical Warehouses

A practical guide to selecting the right storage route for chemical, process and hazardous-material operations

The decision between conventional storage and automation is rarely a technology decision. It is typically a decision about capacity, access, control, safety, and operational performance.

Executive summary

Chemical storage is rarely a simple density exercise. A storage strategy has to work around the products being stored, the way those products move, the level of access required, the site's safety obligations and the commercial reality of the operation.

That is why the comparison between automation and standard storage needs to be handled carefully. Conventional racking, block stacking, drive-in storage, IBC racking and shuttle systems can all be suitable in the right context. Automated systems can also create significant value where the operation needs more control, less routine handling, better visibility or more usable capacity within the same footprint.

The key question is not whether automation is better than traditional storage solutions, but which approach best addresses the operational requirements of the warehouse.

Warehouse Insight: The most effective storage strategy is identified by understanding the operational requirement before evaluating the available storage options.

1. Why chemical storage decisions are different

Chemical warehouses operate with constraints that many general warehousing environments do not face. Product compatibility, segregation, hazardous classifications, containment requirements, batch control, inspection access and traceability can all shape the storage design.

This means a “full” chemical warehouse may not simply be short of floor space. It may be losing usable capacity to aisles, segregation areas, quarantine stock, staging space, emergency routes, forklift travel, rehandling areas or products that cannot be stored together.

The storage system has to support the operating model. A layout that creates pallet positions but increases product movement, reduces visibility or makes access harder may not improve the warehouse in practice.

Many chemical storage projects are driven as much by the need for improved inventory control, traceability, and reduced handling activity as they are by capacity requirements. These factors can have a significant influence on operational performance, safety and compliance.

Consideration	Why it matters
Product format	Palletised chemicals, IBCs, drums and tank containers each introduce different handling, stability and access considerations.
Segregation and compatibility	Products may need to be separated by class, risk profile, batch, customer, storage rule or site procedure.
Hazardous-area context	Design choices may need to account for DSEAR findings, hazardous area classification, ignition source controls, access restrictions and maintenance requirements.
Containment and inspection	Storage must allow the site to manage spill risk, inspection routines, damaged units and recovery scenarios.
Traceability and control	Many operations need reliable stock location, movement history, batch control and controlled retrieval.

Warehouse Insight: The safest and most efficient chemical warehouse is not necessarily the one with the highest theoretical density. It is the one where storage, movement, access and control work together.

2. Standard storage solutions: where they remain strong

Standard storage solutions remain highly relevant in chemical warehousing. They are often easier to understand, easier to phase, lower in capital cost and well suited to operations where flexibility and direct access matter more than maximum density.

The key is to avoid treating standard storage as one generic option. Each route has a different operational profile.

Route	Strength	Watch point
Block stacking	Simple, low infrastructure route for stable products and larger runs.	Creates access restrictions, rehandling and visibility issues if product variety grows.
Pallet racking	Strong direct access and flexibility across mixed SKUs.	Aisle space reduces density and forklift movement remains central.
Drive-in racking	Higher density for larger batches or slower-moving product groups.	Access logic is less flexible and may not suit mixed, fast-changing inventory.
IBC racking / bunded storage	More structured IBC storage with access, separation and containment considered from the design stage.	Needs careful design around containment, inspection, handling and product rotation.

Warehouse Insight: Standard storage is usually strongest where the warehouse needs flexibility, straightforward access, lower capital cost or targeted improvement rather than a major operational change.

3. Automation: what it should be expected to prove

Automation can be valuable in chemical warehousing, but only when it solves a defined operational problem. It should not be introduced because the technology is available or because density appears attractive in isolation.

In many chemical operations, the strongest case for automation is not labour reduction. It is control. Greater inventory visibility, reduced handling activity, improved traceability and more consistent stock movements can often deliver as much value as additional capacity.

- Reduced forklift travel in busy or restricted storage areas.
- Fewer product touches and less rehandling before dispatch or production use.
- More controlled retrieval where batch, FIFO, FEFO or location rules matter.
- Improved visibility of stock position and movement history.
- Better use of height and cube in constrained buildings.
- A more structured alternative to expansion, relocation or long-term external storage.

In many cases, the value of automation comes from improved control rather than increased capacity. Greater visibility of inventory, more consistent stock movements and reduced dependency on manual intervention can contribute to a more controlled warehouse operation.

For hazardous operations where DSEAR applies, or where equipment may be used within a classified potentially explosive atmosphere, automation has to be assessed against the actual site, substances and operating conditions. Suitability depends on the hazardous area classification, stored materials, packaging profile, transfer points, access requirements, maintenance approach and recovery procedures.

Warehouse Insight: Automation should be asked to prove operational value, not just technical possibility.

4. Comparison: automation vs traditional storage

The best comparison is not a generic list of pros and cons. It is a comparison against the criteria that matter in chemical operations.

Area	Standard storage	Automation
Capacity	Can be effective, but usable capacity may be reduced by aisles, access routes and segregation.	Can increase use of height and cube where the load profile and operating model are suitable.
Access	Selective systems provide strong direct access; dense systems may restrict it.	Access is controlled by the system and works best where retrieval rules are understood.
Movement	Forklift movement and manual relocation usually remain part of the process.	Can reduce routine travel, reshuffling and repeat handling.
Traceability	Depends on process discipline, scanning and WMS accuracy.	Can strengthen stock-location control and movement records.

Flexibility	Often strong where product variety is high or demand is unpredictable.	Stronger where loads, flows and storage rules are consistent.
Investment	Typically lower initial cost and easier to phase.	Higher capital route, requiring a clear operational and commercial case.
Operational Control	Relies more heavily on process, discipline operator activity, and inventory management processes.	Greater system led control over inventory location, movement, and retrieval.

5. When standard storage is usually the better route

There are many circumstances where conventional storage will remain the preferred option, despite the availability of automated alternatives.

- The warehouse has adequate usable capacity and no strong expansion pressure.
- Direct access to a wide range of products is more important than storage density.
- Load formats are highly variable or difficult to standardise.
- Storage volumes are modest and throughput is steady.
- The current process is safe, reliable and proportionate to the site's needs.
- The main issue is process discipline, housekeeping or stock accuracy rather than storage-system design.
- The operational value does not justify a higher capital route.

Warehouse Insight: The right recommendation may be to improve conventional storage rather than automate. That is still a successful outcome if it solves the problem properly.

6. When automation deserves serious assessment

Automation becomes more relevant when the warehouse is under pressure and standard storage is no longer solving the core problem. The strongest triggers are usually visible in daily operations.

- The site is considering expansion, relocation or long-term external storage.
- Usable capacity is being lost to aisles, segregation, staging or rehandling space.
- Forklift activity is high in storage areas where movement should be more controlled.
- Product is regularly moved more than once before it is used or dispatched.
- Batch rules, FIFO, FILO or stock-location control are difficult to maintain consistently.
- Operators are spending too much time searching, reshuffling or manually managing inventory.

- The business case can be linked to avoided cost, reduced movement, better throughput, improved visibility or tighter operational control.

None of these factors automatically make automation the right solution. They indicate that automation should be assessed alongside conventional and mixed storage approaches, based on the operational requirements of the site.

7. The mixed storage option is often the most realistic answer

Chemical warehouses often need different storage solutions in different parts of the operation. Some products need direct access. Some need high density. Some need containment-led design. Some need more controlled retrieval. This is why a mixed storage strategy can be more practical than treating the decision as automation versus standard storage.

- Racking for irregular or lower-volume SKUs, with high-density storage for stable product families.
- IBC racking or banded storage for containment-sensitive products, with automation considered for suitable palletised goods.
- Semi-automated shuttle storage where density is needed but full automation is not justified.
- A defined automated zone for high-volume or high-control products, introduced as part of a phased strategy.
- Improved software control and stock discipline before making wider equipment changes.

Warehouse Insight: The most effective storage strategy may combine traditional access, engineered containment, high-density storage and automation within the same operation.

8. Evaluation framework

The following framework gives stakeholders a structured way to compare options before a detailed design is developed. It is intended for early-stage discussion and should be followed by site-specific technical and commercial review.

Assessment area	Question to answer
Capacity pressure	Is the site regularly constrained by usable capacity, overflow storage or planned expansion?
Handling intensity	How often is stock reshuffled, relocated or touched before dispatch or use?

Access requirement	Does the operation need direct access to many loads or controlled access to known product groups?
Load profile	Are pallets, IBCs or drums consistent enough for a structured storage route?
Hazardous context	Do DSEAR findings, hazardous area classification containment, emergency access or site procedures affect the storage option?
Traceability	Is stock location, batch control or movement history difficult to maintain today?
Commercial case	Can the project be justified through avoided expansion, external storage reduction, movement reduction or improved control?
Process readiness	Is the operation disciplined enough to support a more controlled storage system?

DECISION PRINCIPLE	If the answer is mainly flexibility and direct access, standard storage may be strongest. If the answer is movement reduction, visibility, density and controlled retrieval, automation or semi-automation may deserve closer assessment. If both are true, a mixed storage route should be explored.
---------------------------	---

9. Practical decision pathway

A useful decision process keeps the discussion focused on the operation rather than the technology.

Define the operational constraint

Identify whether the main problem is capacity, access, movement, traceability, safety, throughput, labour dependency or cost.

Map the storage environment

Review products, packaging, pallet quality, IBCs, drums, segregation rules, access frequency, DSEAR requirements and hazardous-area classification.

Compare routes on the same criteria

Assess standard storage, semi-automation, automation and mixed storage options against capacity, control, access, safety and cost.

Test proportionality

A technically possible solution still needs to be commercially and operationally proportionate.

Select the most suitable route

The strongest recommendation is the one that solves the defined problem with the right level of complexity and return.

Conclusion

The best storage route for a chemical warehouse cannot be selected from a product list. It has to be selected from a clear understanding of the site, the products, the operating constraints and the commercial case.

Traditional storage remains the right answer in many operations. Automation can be valuable where improved control, inventory visibility, traceability and reduced handling activity are becoming increasingly important. Mixed storage solutions can offer a balanced route where different product groups require different storage methods.

The objective is not to automate for the sake of automation. The objective is to design a storage operation that is safer, more usable, more controlled and commercially appropriate for the chemical environment it supports.

Warehouse Insight: Problem first. Route second. System third.

Next step

For chemical operators reviewing storage performance, the most useful first step is a structured review of the current warehouse. This should examine capacity, product format, access, handling activity, stock visibility, DSEAR considerations, hazardous-area context, containment requirements and commercial pressure before any solution is selected.

ASSESSMENT FOCUS

A practical review should answer one question clearly: is the operation best served by standard storage, automation or a mixed storage approach?